

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,493	08/27/2003	Declan McDonagh	5646-108	5891
20792	7590 11/08/2005		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			SHINGLETON, MICHAEL B	
PO BOX 3742 RALEIGH, N	<del></del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/649,493	MCDONAGH ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael B. Shingleton	2817		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 10-26-2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL		•		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replied the final rejection.	f Appeal. To avoid abandonment of ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or ly must be filed within one of the		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	f the final rejection.  RST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO  ) and the appropriate extension fee have The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beloappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying the issues for		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ampliant Amondment (PTOL 224)		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendment canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to: 3-7,9,11-13,15 and 18-20.  Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,8,10,14,16,17 and 21.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an	ut before or on the date of filing a North of the sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered vit or other evidence is necessary		
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessared. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. So on of the status of the claims after the control of the status of the claims after the control of the status of the claims after the control of the status of the claims after the control of the status of the claims after the control of the status of the claims after the control of the control	al and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). entry is below or attached.		
<ul> <li>11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other:</li> </ul>				

...

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states that claim 1 recites that the controlled oscillator circuit and the transfer function control circuit both respond to the same signal under certain conditions. Applicant states that signals that are generated from a common source at different times are different signals and that the auto-trim mode does not comport with claim 1. The examiner respectfully disagrees for claim 1 recites "to transition operation of the controlled oscillator circuit from a first one of the transfer functions to a second one of the transfer functions responsive to the oscillator control signal. The claims do not recite that the oscillator control signal cannot change over time and the claims do not recite that oscillator control signal is controlling the transition operation and the controlled oscillator at the same time. A signal can be allowed to vary over time but it is still referred to a signal. In the instant case the signal is identified as VLF. This is givin the broadest reasonable intrepretation to the claims See MPEP 2111.